

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 10/614,947 07/08/2003 Raymon W. Lush 7123 31083 05/14/2004 EXAMINER THOMTE, MAZOUR & NIEBERGALL, L.L.C. ABBOTT, YVONNE RENEE 2120 S. 72ND STREET, SUITE 1111 OMAHA, NE 68124 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3644

DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.  10/614,947  LUSH, RAYN  Examiner  Yvonne R. Abbott  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13:	/ 1
Period for Reply  As HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 LISC 6.8.13).	<del>- //</del>
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period will apply and will a	MON W. A//
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONED, (35.1) S.C. 8.13.	1/
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONED, (35 LISC 6.8.13).	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONED, (35 LISC 6.8.13).	ce address
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	this communication
Status	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to	o the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>	
Application Papers	
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 3</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form</li> </ul>	37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) Ali b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National polication from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/3/03.  3) Other:	(PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Specification**

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in line 1, the phrase "is disclosed" should be omitted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Podjan (4,204,500) in view of Lush (6,073,582). Podjan shows a bird feeder comprising a collapsible metal feeder body, selectively movable between collapsed and extended positions, having upper and lower ends, comprising a base (12) and rim (57), a series of hollow body square-shaped segments (16,17,18,19) of graduated diameters

Application/Control Number: 10/614,947

Art Unit: 3644

which are extendible to form a feeder body, the segment of smallest diameter (19) being joined to said base (12) by mounting plate (59), the other segments being collapsible around the segment of smallest diameter inwardly of said rim; the segment of smallest diameter having feed outlets (66) formed therein whereby feed in the feeder body may pass outwardly through said feed outlets onto said base inwardly of said rim, a hanger (52,53) secured to the segment of greatest diameter, and said feeder segments including selective locking structure, said selective locking structure yieldably maintaining said feeder body in its said extended position; wherein the segment of greatest diameter includes an outwardly extending roof with a selectively removable cover (42,43) mounted thereon; additionally, with respect to claims 4 and 6, Podjan teaches that the segments can be rectangular if desired or made of plastic (col. 5, lines 18-28). Although the hanger of Podjan is not shown to be pivotally secured to the segment of greatest diameter, Lush teaches a hanger (26) which is removably pivotally secured to the top segment of a collapsible feeder. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide that the hanger of Podjan is pivotally attached to provide a more level and stable hanging structure. With respect to claim 7, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the feeder of glass material since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of it suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. As to claim 9, to provide that the

Application/Control Number: 10/614,947

Art Unit: 3644

roof extends downward would have been obvious to one skilled in the art wishing to provide an awning or protective cover to the feed from rain, and the like.

5. Claims 1-8, 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Lush (6,427,629) in view of Podjan ('500). Lush shows a bird feeder comprising a collapsible feeder body, selectively movable between collapsed and extended positions, having upper and lower ends, comprising a base (16) and a rim (18), a series of hollow body annular-shaped segments (20) of graduated diameters which are extendible to form a feeder body, the segment of smallest diameter being joined to said base, the other segments being collapsible around the segment of smallest diameter inwardly of said rim; the segment of smallest diameter having feed outlets (32) formed therein whereby feed in the feeder body may pass outwardly through said feed outlets onto said base inwardly of said rim, a removable hanger (22) pivotally secured to the segment of greatest diameter; wherein the segments are annular, square, or rectangular shaped, and the feeder is made of metal, plastic or glass (col. 3, lines 30-35). Lush, however, does not show that said feeder segments include a selective locking structure, said selective locking structure yieldably maintaining said feeder body in its said extended position. Podian ('500) teaches a collapsible bird feeder having a selective locking structure comprised of cooperating flanges (26,27), said selective locking structure yieldably maintaining said feeder body in its said extended position; alternatively the feeder can be mounted on a post or similar structure such that a suitable retaining rod is extended vertically between the

Application/Control Number: 10/614,947

Art Unit: 3644

top and base structures, one or more rods can be disposed at the corners of the feeder externally of the sidewall, or inside the sidewall structure (col. 5, lines 3-15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide that the Lush collapsible feeder be selectively lockable in an extended position as taught by Podjan if one wished the feeder to remain in the extended position even when not supported by the hanger (i.e. if one wished the feeder to stand on its own).

6. Claims 1, 6,10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Runyon et al. (5,975,015) in view of Lush ('582). Runyon et al. show a plastic feeder comprising a collapsible feeder body, selectively movable between collapsed and extended positions, having upper and lower ends, comprising a base (28) and a rim, a series of hollow body segments of graduated diameters which are extendible to form a feeder body, the segment of smallest diameter being joined to said base, the other segments being collapsible around the segment of smallest diameter inwardly of said rim (Fig. 1); the segment of smallest diameter having feed outlets (26b) formed therein whereby feed in the feeder body may pass outwardly through said feed outlets onto said base inwardly of said rim, and said feeder segments including selective locking structure (Fig. 6) on the segments comprised of cooperating beveled flanges (38), detents (62), and edge surfaces (44,76) of upper and lower members, said selective locking structure yieldably maintaining said feeder body in its said extended position; and Although Runyon does not show a hanger e pivotally secured to the top segment or

Art Unit: 3644

segment of greatest diameter, Lush teaches a hanger (26) which is removably pivotally secured to the top of a collapsible feeder. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide that the hanger of Podjan is pivotally attached to provide a stable means of attaching the feeder to more locations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne R. Abbott whose telephone number is (703)308-2866. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00 flex schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles T. Jordan can be reached on (703)306-4159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yydnne R. Abbott Primary Examiner Art Unit 3644